

Finding functional elements in genomes with statistical models

Chaochun Wei (韦朝春) Department of Bioinformatics and Biostatistics Shanghai Jiao Tong University

2013.6.17



Contents

Background

- Functional elements in genomes
- Statistical models
 - Hidden Markov Model (HMM)
 - Conditional Random Field (CRF)
- Finding functional elements in genomes
 - Gene structure prediction
 - Transcription factor binding site finding
 - Protein acetylation site prediction

Summary



$DNA \rightarrow RNA \rightarrow Protein$





RNA Processing





Gene Structure



A gene is a highly structured region of DNA, it is a functional unit of inheritance.



Patterns in Splice Sites





Josep F. Abril et al. Genome Res. 2005; 15: 111-119

Sequence data were from RefSeq of human, mouse, rat and chicken.



A Typical Human Gene Structure





Genes in a Genome





Functional elements in genomes



In a Mammalian Genome

Finding all the genes is hard
 Mammalian genomes are large
 8,000 km of 10pt type
 Only about 1% protein coding

 Finding all functional elements in genomes is still in its infant stage





Statistical Models

- Hidden Markov Model (HMM)
- Conditional Random Field (CRF)



Hidden Markov Model:

Model behind gene predictors

HMM for two biased coins flipping



 $e_1(H) = 0.8, e_1(T) = 0.2, e_2(H) = 0.3, e_2(T) = 0.7$

$$\pi^* = \arg \max_{\pi} P(x,\pi)$$

Hidden Markov Model

- Elements of an HMM (N, M, A, B, Init)
 - 1. N: number of states in the model
 - $S={S_1, S_2, ..., S_N}$, and the state at time t is q_t .
 - 2. M: alphabet size (the number of observation symbols)
 - V={v₁, v₂, ..., v_M}
 - 3. A: state transition probability distribution
 - A={ a_{ij} } where a_{ij} =P[q_{t+1} =S_j| q_t =S_i], 1≤i,j ≤N
 - 4. E: emission probability
 - $E=\{e_j(k)\}\$ (observation symbols probability distribution in state j), where $e_j(k)=P[v_k \text{ at } t \mid q_t = S_j\}$, $1 \le j \le N$, $1 \le k \le M$
 - 5. Init: initial state probability, π_i
 - Init={ π_i }, where π_i =P[q₁=S_i], 1 ≤ i ≤N.

HMM is a generative model

HMM for two biased coins flipping



$$e_1(H) = 0.8, e_1(T) = 0.2, e_2(H) = 0.3, e_2(T) = 0.7$$

$$P(x, \pi \mid \lambda) = Init_{\pi_0} * e_{\pi_0}(x(0)) * \prod_{0 \le i \le T} (a_{\pi_i \pi_{i+1}} e_{\pi_{i+1}}(x(i)))$$

Hidden Markov Model

• HMM: $\lambda = \{A, B, Init\}$

Three basic problems for HMMs

- Problem 1: From the observation $O=O_1O_2...O_T$, and a model $\lambda = \{A, B, Init\}, how to compute P(O \mid \lambda)\}$?
- Problem 2: From the observation $O=O_1O_2...O_T$, and a model λ ={A, B, Init}, how to choose a state sequence π^* , so that

$$\pi^* = \arg\max_{\pi} P(O,\pi)$$



• **Problem 3:** how to estimate model parameters $\lambda = \{A, B, Init\}$ to maximize $P(O \mid \lambda)$.

Most Probable Path and Viterbi Algorithm



Let
$$f_{j}(i) = \max_{\{\pi_{0},...,\pi_{i-1}\}} (\Pr(x_{0},...,x_{i-1},x_{i},\pi_{0},...,\pi_{i-1},\pi_{i}=j))$$
Initialization (j=1...N)
$$f_{j}(0) = \pi_{j}e_{j}(x_{0})$$
Recursion (i=1...L)
$$f_{j}(i) = e_{j}(x_{i})\max_{k}(f_{k}(i-1)a_{kj});$$

$$ptr_{j}(i) = \arg\max_{k}(f_{k}(i-1)a_{kj}).$$
Time complexity $O(N^{2}L)$ space complexity $O(NL)$
Solution to problem 2

Probability of All the Possible Paths and Forward Algorithm



Backward Algorithm



Probability of all the probable paths

$$P(x) = \sum_{\pi} P(x,\pi) = \sum_{k} b_k(0)$$

Problem 3: Optimize the model parameters from the observation

- HMM: $\lambda = \{A, B, Init\}$
- With annotations
 - Maximum likely-hood ratio
- Without annotations
 - Baum-Welch algorithm (EM algorithm)

Baum-Welch method (EM method)

• HMM: $\lambda = \{A, B, Init\}$, Without annotations

Let
$$\xi_t(i, j) = P(\pi_t = i, \pi_{t+1} = j \mid x, \lambda)$$

then $\xi_t(i, j) = \frac{f_i(t)a_{ij}e_j(x_{t+1})b_j(t+1)}{\sum\limits_{i=j}^{N}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{N}(f_i(t)a_{ij}e_j(x_{t+1})b_j(t+1))}$
Let $\gamma_t(i) = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{N}\xi_t(i, j)$
then $\sum\limits_{t=0}^{L}\gamma_t(i)$ = expected number of transitions from S_i
 $\sum\limits_{t=0}^{L}\xi_t(i, j)$ = expected number of transition S_i to S_j

Baum-Welch method (EM method) (2) • HMM: $\lambda = \{A, B, Init\}$, Without annotations Then, $Init_i =$ expected frequency in S_i at time 0 = $\gamma_0(i)$ $\overline{a_{i,j}} = \frac{\exp ected \quad number \quad of \quad tranistions \quad from \quad S_i \quad to \quad S_j}{\exp ected \quad number \quad of \quad tranistions \quad from \quad S_i}$ $= \frac{\sum_{t=0}^{I} \xi_t(i,j)}{I}$ $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma_t(i)$ $\bar{e}_i(k) = \frac{\exp ected number of times in state j and observing symbol v_k}{v_k}$ exp ected number of times in state j $\sum_{\substack{t=0\\s.t.x_t=v_k}}^{L} \gamma_t(i)$ $\sum_{t=0} \gamma_t(i)$

Genes in a Genome

Different information for gene prediction

TWINSCAN_EST Model

Generalized HMM

- Each feature in a gene structure corresponds to one state.
- State-specific length models.
- State-specific sequence models
- Use Conservation information
- Use EST information

24

Conservation Sequence

Generated by projecting local alignments to the target sequence

human CTAGAGATGCAAAAGAAACAGGTACCGCAGTGC---CCC

mouse CTAGAG-----AGACAGGTACCATAGGGCTCTCCT

Pair each nucleotide of the target with "|" if it is aligned and identical ":" if it is aligned to mismatch "." if it is unaligned

Sequence Representation of EST Alignments

- 1. Use EST-to-genome alignment programs
 - BLAT (Kent 2002)
- 2. Project the top alignment for each EST to the target genomic sequence

Using ESTs for Gene Prediction: TWINSCAN_EST

Integrating EST alignment information into TWINSCAN to improve its accuracy where EST evidence exits and not to compromise its ability to predict novel genes.

Accuracy Measurement

- Annotated data sets for training/testing
 - RefSeq (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/RefSeq/)
 - CCDS (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/CCDS/)
- Accuracy in different levels
 - Nucleotide level
 - Exon level
 - Gene level
 - Transcript level
- Sensitivity and specificity

Annotation Prediction Correct Prediction

 $Sensitivity = \frac{Correct_\Pr ediction}{Total_Annotation}$

Specificit
$$y = \frac{Correct _Prediction}{Total _Prediction}$$

TWINSCAN_EST and N-SCAN_EST on the Whole Human Genome

An Example of N-SCAN_EST Prediction

(Hg17, chr21:33,459,500-33,465,411)

An Example of N-SCAN EST Prediction

Experimental Validation of Predictions

Siepel, Genome Research, 2007

Experimental Validation of Predictions

🔷 See

- The MGC Project Team, "The Completion of the Mammalian Gene Collection (MGC)", Genome Research, 2009, 19:2324-2333
- Wei, C., et al., "Closing in on the C.elegans ORFeome by Cloning TWINSCAN predictions", *Genome Research*, 2005, 15:577-582
- Tenney, A. E. et al., "Gene prediction and verification in a compact genome with numerous small introns", Genome Research, 2004, 14, 2330-2335

Limits of HMM

A strict statistical model

All features need to be independent

Conditional Random Fields

 The conditional probability-like score of a label sequence (TFBS and non-TFBS) given an observation sequence x can be computed as follows

$$p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \frac{\exp\left(\sum_{t=1}^{L} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_{k} f_{k}\left(y_{t}, y_{t-1}, t, \mathbf{x}\right)\right)}{\sum_{\mathbf{y}'} \exp\left(\sum_{t=1}^{L} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_{k} f_{k}\left(y'_{t}, y'_{t-1}, t, \mathbf{x}\right)\right)}$$

where y is *the* label sequence or annotation of all bins, x is the observed genomic sequence, f_k is the k^{-th} feature functions and λ_k is the corresponding weight. The feature function can be an arbitrary function on x and y' is any label sequence.

Training and Prediction

Training

To estimate the parameter vector λ, we use a Regularized Maximum Conditional Log Likelihood method.

That is

$$\lambda_{ML} = \arg \max_{\lambda} \left(\ln(p(y | x; \lambda)) \right)$$

$$\lambda_{ML} = \arg \max_{\lambda} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{L} \lambda_{k} f_{k} - \ln(Z(\mathbf{x})) - \frac{\|\lambda\|^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} \right)$$

This can be done by numerical computing.

Prediction

the marginal probability of *j*-th bin to be TFBS as follows

$$s_j = p(y_j = 1 | \mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\lambda})$$

TFBS finding

- Transcript factor binding site finding is challenging , because
 - TFBSs are short, 6bps and up
 - High false positive prediction
 - No gold standard data until recent Chip-seq data.

CTF: A novel integrated TFBS prediction system based on Conditional Random Fields

The system diagram of CTF

Dataset

- The binding sites of 13 TFs in mouse ES cells from the ChIP-seq data from Chen et al, Cell, 2008.
- The 13 TFs:
 - c-Myc, CTCF, E2f1, ESrrb, Klf4, Nanog, n-Myc, Oct4, Smad1, Sox2, STAT3, Tcfcp2l1 and Zfx

Performance evaluation

Gold-standard TFBS dataset

- "Peak-centric" method
- Divide the genome into bins of 200bps
- Those bins with Chip-seq peaks are gold standard TFBSs

Evaluation

- TFBSs with their centers overlapping with a bin with Chipseq peaks are TPs
- 10-fold cross validation
 - Divide 19 chromosomes into 10 folds
 - 1 for test and 9 for training

Accuracy Comparison

	CTF	Chromia	PWM
c-Myc	0.98	0.94	0.84
CTCF	0.76	0.69	0.76
E2f1	0.96	0.94	0.75
Esrrb	0.89	0.84	0.77
Klf4	0.96	0.92	0.83
Nanog	0.83	0.82	0.62
n-Myc	0.97	0.94	0.86
Oct4	0.92	0.88	0.61
Smad1	0.92	0.89	0.66
Sox2	0.90	0.87	0.70
STAT3	0.91	0.86	0.72
Tcfcp2l1	0.88	0.83	0.79
Zfx	0.97	0.96	0.82
Average	0.91	0.88	0.75

He, Zhang, Zheng and Wei, 2012, **BMC Genomics**

Accuracy (AUC) for PWM and CTF with different features

He, Zhang, Zheng and Wei, 2012, **BMC Genomics**

Representative Publications

Functional element finding in genomes

- CTF: a CRF-based TFBS prediction system
 *BMC Genomics, 2012, 13(Suppl 8):S18
- Interactions between TFs and their DNA targets in Mammals
 *BMC Genomics, 2012, 13:388
- Predicted and validated 734 novel human genes (MGC)
 Genome Research, 2009, 19:2324-2333
- Using ESTs to improve gene prediction accuracy
 *BMC Bioinformatics, 2006, 7:327
- Gene prediction for *C.elegans, find >1,000 novel genes* *Genome Research, 2005, 15:577-582.
 Reported by Nature Reviews Genetics as "Research highlight"
- Gene prediction for *C. briggsae PLoS Biology*, 2003, 1(2): E45

On going work

- Finding and characterization of >30,000 novel human transcripts", Zhiqiang Hu and Chaochun Wei, submitted
- "CPA: a CRF-based protein acetylation site prediction system", Ting Hou, Guangyong Zheng, and Chaochun Wei, in preparation

Summary

- Statistical models are powerful for genomic functional element finding.
- We have
 - built statistical models
 - HMMs, CRFs
 - applied those models to
 - Protein-coding gene structure prediction
 - TFBS finding
 - Protein acetylation site prediction
 - Alternative splicing prediction

They can also be applied in other areas in bioinformatics

- Sequence alignment
- Sequence classification

Acknowledgement

Students

- Yupeng He
- 🔷 Ting Hou
- Zhiqiang Hu
- Guangyong Zheng

Funding ♦ NSFC

• 863

Shanghai Pujiang Program

48

